

S.B. and Nixon:

USG didn't act as if S.B. had to be
justified — i.e. that it was evil
first... "it right help"

(as (A-Bank) if it was clearly not
necessary (to end war) and right not
(+ did not) Admitted war

as if it didn't cause any harm (to America!
(to people who mattered — at all) permission
to innocents — who didn't deserve punishment, and
like ZDT! (or a ^{B-2} drone attack — "war")

no, a chance way of doing something,
maybe
on some other special piece of...

(or torture of a known guilty +
refused bad guy...

(not an "abuse" of torture)

justified for having

- 1) prevent the from harming — no other way
innocents, as.
- 2) self-defense (justified)
- 3) punishment/deterrence ("justified")

Central question: Why Hausman?

Was it justified? necessary?

But not (Wood Wilson): What are
effects of entering it "misconceivable effects"?

Hiroshima ^{justified} Offers C-4

USG could have gotten surrender (probably
of offer to Eisenhower (+ warning of possibly
SU!) without Bomb, and knew it —
but chose to prolong war
to use Bomb

by force making either offer of SU

≈ Secret offer of secret Treaty, to K in C-4
(most effective)
and Machines to make long treaty

whereas (arguing) K could have relined on Type II
and won!

(He had both Type I and Type II on island
— so unlikely as we had our Berlin (experience?)
or now — as if K had access to ours
and our "armies troops to Berlin."
Karl Marx and delegation
(or, garrison in Berlin!)

2-17-13

X

WW 71

Failure of SU Type II to prevent US blockade
(or US drive to invade!)

(What if Oswald shot in Chicago during crisis?)

or JFK really unexpected

9 drunk (K in 1973)

only (?) compensation between USSR?